# ANDRÁS PATAY-HORVÁTH

# THE ORIGINS OF THE OLYMPIC GAMES



**BUDAPEST 2015** 

The publication of this volume was generously supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (Országos Tudományos Kutatási Alapprogramok, OTKA OT 101755)



Published in cooperation with the Institute of Archaeology at the Research Centre for the Humanities of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences



*Front Cover* The lower course of the Alpheios (photo: Ridi Graz)

*Back Cover* Geometric bovine figurine from Greece (New York, Metropolitan Museum)

ISBN 978-963-9911-72-7

#### HU-ISSN 1216-6847

© by the author and Archaeolingua Foundation

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, digitised, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior permission of the publisher.

2015

ARCHAEOLINGUA ALAPÍTVÁNY H-1250 Budapest, Úri u. 49.

Desktop editing and layout by Rita Kovács Printed by Prime Rate Kft.

## Contents

Preface		7
Photo Cre	edits	9
Introduct	ion: A Brief History of Research	11
A Ritual	Parallel	17
Tripo	aeological Record of the 8 <sup>th</sup> Century BC d Cauldrons al Figurines	21
The A The E Ritua	Sense of the Evidence Archaeological Material Basic Features of the Olympic Games I Prescriptions Penteteric Periodicity	
Pelops and the Origins of the Games		75
Conclusion		
Appendic I. II. III.	The Staphylodromia Greek Geometric Bull Figurines Outside Olympia, the Kabeirion and Crete Biological and Ethological Characteristics of Wild	
IV. V. VI. VII. VIII. IX. X.	and Feral Bovines	
Abbreviations		133
Bibliography		135
Index of Ancient Passages Cited		153

#### Preface

"one should disregard the ancient stories both of the founding of the sanctuary and of the establishment of the games ... for such stories are told in many ways, and no faith at all is to be put in them" (Strabo, *Geogr.* 8.3.30, English translation by H. Jones)

Although nowadays Olympia is generally connected with its famous athletic contests, this was originally not the case and it is by no means evident why the famous games were established here at an early date, which is traditionally referred to as 776 BC. The archaeological record shows beyond reasonable doubt that during the Early Iron Age the sanctuary existed and flourished for a long time without the games and there is nothing to prove that it would have been established originally or primarily to house sports events. Even after the games became popular, the sanctuary remained an important location for victory monuments celebrating military successes.

It was controversial already in antiquity, when and why the Olympic Games were established and by whom. Modern scholarship has also advanced a great number of hypotheses on the origins of the games, but a really convincing reconstruction has not been formulated yet. Admittedly, the subject is a very complex one. Different kinds of evidence should be treated cautiously and related problems can only be dealt with in a monograph which is, however, apart from a rather problematic one, missing so far. The present volume seeks therefore to fill this gap by presenting a new overall explanation for the phenomenon. This explanation is admittedly a hypothetical one, based mainly on the interpretation of the archaeological material and some ethnographic parallels, but due to the complete absence of contemporary written evidence, it cannot be otherwise. And although it is essentially a simple one, it must remain, as with any other previous similar theory, beyond definitive proof. And although it seems to the present author a fairly probable one, it is meant to further the discussion and "any who raise complaint have an easy remedy: to offer something better, something coherent and constructive."

One question which was frequently discussed in previous scholarship, i.e. the reliability of the traditional foundation date 776 BC, is completely and deliberately left out of consideration here, because it seems quite obvious (at least to the present author), that during the Early Iron Age, no single decisive

foundation could have taken place. The date was established or calculated, as is well-known, only centuries later, and there is no hope of retrieving the exact methods or principles underlying it. Anyway, one can safely assume that all the dates appearing in our sources are artificial ones and, similarly to the many myths surrounding the foundation of the Olympic Games, it is not particularly likely that they would directly reflect historical reality. Instead of a single foundation date, a period of formation is more likely and can certainly be dated, even if only in an approximate manner, to the Early Iron Age including the Protogeometric, Geometric and Early Archaic periods and ranging in terms of absolute chronology approximately from the 10<sup>th</sup> to the 7<sup>th</sup> century BC.

Instead of debating or searching for the exact date, it is more important and more interesting, I think, to reconstruct the way in which the games were established and the circumstances, which allowed or caused their widespread and early popularity as well as the high prestige attached to them.

After having clarified the title and the scope, it remains to acknowledge the help of many institutions and persons to whom I am greatly indebted. The basic idea came during a research stay at the Freie Universität, Berlin, financed by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. During the past two years, the substantial financial support of the Hungarian National Research Fund (OTKA ref. no. 101755) and the János Bolyai postdoctoral fellowship provided by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences contributed in many different ways to elaborate the theory presented here.

I greatly benefited from the advice, comments and encouragement of Gy. W. Hegyi, Zs. Simon, L. Bartosiewicz, P. Siewert, M. Kiderlen, S. Lewis, W. Puchner and M. Golden. I have presented various parts of these ideas at different venues and received some valuable comments from the audiences at Budapest, Berlin, Paris, Pécs and Edinburgh. The feedback from different renowned journals and anonymous reviewers rejecting earlier drafts of some chapters also helped to elaborate the argument, and I take this opportunity to express my gratitude to all of them.

### **Photo Credits**

Fig. 1.	Bronze bovine figurines found at Kato Syme,	
-	Crete: Schürmann 1996 no. 274, 320, 321, 463	
	© Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, Athen	
	(neg. 1997/ 391, 1487, 2023, 2043)	34
Fig. 2.	Bronze bovine figurines found at Olympia:	
U	B 1346, 1695, 2399, 4329, 5149 Br. 204, 1990, 2208, 9192, 9871,	
	10808, K 882, 899 © Deutsches Archäologisches Institut, Athen	
	(neg. 69/377, 380, 423, 448, 449, 469, 475, 70/623, Ol. 1761, 7233,	
	7243, 7264, 7270); skeleton of an aurochs: Copenhagen Nat. Mus.	
	ZMK 9/1864 (courtesy of the museum)	48
Fig. 3.	Bronze bull figurine from the Theban Kabeirion, ca. 6 <sup>th</sup> century BC.	
e	New York, Metropolitan Museum 20.210	
	(http://www.metmuseum.org/collection/the-collection-online/	
	search/250963)	49
Fig. 4.	Bronze bovine figurines found at Olympia	
	(after FURTWÄNGLER 1890); feral cattle from the Camargue	
	(http://www.saintesmaries.com/en/assets/images/	
	diapos/taureaux/t03.jpg)	52
Fig. 5.	Geometric bovine figurines from Greece:	
	Baltimore, Walters Art Gallery 54. 2379 (http://art.thewalters.org/	
	detail/2048/geometric-statuette-of-a-bull); New York,	
	Metropolitan Museum 1972.118.82 (http://www.metmuseum.org/	
	collection/the-collection-online/search/255395)	101
Fig. 6.	The characteristic position of aurochs horns	
	(after VAN VUURE 2005, fig. 21)	03
Fig. 7.	Comparison of aurochs and domestic cattle	
	(after VAN VUURE 2005, fig. 16)	04
Fig. 8.	Interior of building VI.A.8 from Çatalhöyük	
	(after MELLAART 1967, fig. 42)	106
Fig. 9.	Golden vessel from Ugarit and stone relief from Alaca Höyük	
	(after von Lengerken 1955, figs. 93, 100)	07
Fig. 10.	Late Cypriot ivory gaming box from Enkomi (tomb no. 58).	
	ca. 1250–1050 BC.; London BM GR 1897.0401.996.	
	© Trustees of the British Museum 1	109

Fig. 11.	Stone reliefs from Zincirli and Tell Halaf	
	(after VON LENGERKEN 1955, figs. 95, 97)	109
Fig. 12.	Relief from the North West Palace at Nimrud (Kalhu).	
	Royal bull hunt of Ashurnasirpal II (875-860 BC).	
	London BM 124532. © Trustees of the British Museum	109
Fig. 13.	Bronze reliefs from the Idaean Cave (Crete)	
	(after von Lengerken 1955, figs. 111–112.)	110
Fig. 14.	Sarcophagus relief from Golgoi (Cyprus). ca. 475-450 BC.	
	New York, Metropolitan Museum 74.51.2451	
	(http://www.metmuseum.org/collection/the-collection-online/	
	search/242004)	110
Fig. 15.	Wild bull dragged to a ship. Detail of the late Roman mosaic floor	
	of the villa at Piazza Armerina. (© José Luiz Bernardes Ribeiro /	
	Wikimedia commons / CC-BY-SA-4.0)	111
Fig. 16.	The decline of the last aurochs population	
	in the forests of Jaktorow, Poland	
	(after VAN VUURE 2005, fig. 11)	115