This paper presents the aims and results of the project titled “Non-destructive archaeological research of Roman-period barrow cemeteries in the Great Hungarian Plain.” The fundamental goal of this project was to map surface and subsurface phenomena using non-destructive methods. Four Sarmatian cemeteries were investigated during the project, all of which were situated in the Great Hungarian Plain. The results make a significant contribution to our understanding of the spatial arrangement of Sarmatian burial sites.

INTRODUCTION

In the past decades many theories were formulated about the peoples buried in barrow cemeteries in the Great Hungarian Plain. In the beginning, the barrows were interpreted as traces of ethnic communities who separated themselves from the Sarmatians, and this is still a recurring element in archaeological interpretations.

Most of the sites which have been considered significant reference points in the modern literature were excavated in the 19th or the early 20th century. Thus, it has been a long due obligation of Hungarian archaeology to properly study these sites using modern methods. Notably, excavations in the past paid little attention to the environment of the mounds including the circular features around them, and it was expected that new investigations covering large areas would yield significant new data. Site localization often remains problematic because they were not properly documented and registered in the currently available official site register.

The presence of enclosures around the barrows and the shapes of these features have been among the highly debated issues of archaeological interpretation. Investigations in the second half of the 20th century already pointed out that in the Great Hungarian Plain certain Roman-period graves were enclosed by ditches. It was, however, a matter of debate whether there were mounds inside these ditches, which had since weathered off, or these mounds should be considered as elements of an entirely different rite. The function of the uninterrupted or interrupted enclosures, as well as those having different shapes (e.g. square)—the latter occur relatively rarely—is still uncertain.

The reconstruction of graves enclosed by ditches is still the subject of debates, since this could be based primarily on Sarmatian cemeteries found more recently, during preventive excavations.

Therefore we decided to approach the cemetery types and grave structures from a viewpoint different from the one applied in earlier research. Instead of focusing on the recently documented sites where burial mounds were absent we focused on those barrows which were dated to the Roman period with certainty, taking up the thread of on-site research following at least a hundred-year-long tradition (Fig. 1).
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RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND OBJECTIVES

Burial mounds caught the interest of both amateur and professional researchers very early on. Methods of excavation in the past, however, cannot be compared to modern standards, and in fact, these early excavations contributed to the destruction of the mounds (in addition to agricultural activities and natural erosion). Their locations had often been forgotten and could be clarified only with the help of archival data.

In his groundbreaking work on the Sarmatian period of the Great Hungarian Plain, Mihály Párducz classified Sarmatian burials into two categories, namely those with and without barrows (Párducz, 1950). A few years later the first example of a Sarmatian burial site with a circular enclosure came to light, with a south-oriented “entrance” (Zalotay, 1953). The reconstruction of such interrupted enclosures remained, however, problematic ever since. It has been noted fairly early that the small barrows within the enclosures above the graves could have been completely destroyed by agricultural activities, leaving no trace, but apparently this process of destruction was impossible to evidence (Vaday, 1989, pp. 197–203). Although the mounds and the enclosures are regarded as separate elements of burial rites (Kulcsár, 1998, pp. 35–47), one should consider, in any case, that the earth from the ditches may have generally been deposited right above the graves.

Research has revealed that both rites are present at certain sites, and unmarked graves were also found among the mounds with closed or open enclosures (Kőhegyi, 1971). In other cases it seems likely that the enclosed graves are, in fact, traces of mounds—this, however, could be attested only by toponymic evidence, since there are no detectable traces of mounds left on the surface (e.g. in the case of Tatárhányás-dűlő at Abony, ‘tatárhányás’ meaning ‘earthen heaps made by the Tartars’ in Hungarian, see Gulyás, 2011).

Based on the example of the Rákocscaba and Madaras cemeteries it was suggested that Sarmatian burial mounds were not always enclosed by ditches, and/or the remains of these ditches only rarely survived, not even in those cemeteries where graves with circular enclosures were present (Nagy, 2018). Yet another direction of research is the spatial analysis of the cemeteries, which led to the discovery and evaluation of their centralized structure (Kulcsár, 1998, pp. 75–85; Gulyás, 2011).

Meanwhile the analysis of grave finds from earlier excavations continued. This generally demonstrated that most of these graves had been heavily disturbed and robbed. Although the interpretation of the finds has been subject to debates, one thing seems certain: starting from the 2nd century, population groups in the Great Hungarian Plain erected mounds over their graves and this practice continued into the Late Sarmatian – Hunnic period (Vaday, 1986; Kulcsár, 1998, p. 43; Kőhegyi – Vörös, 2011, pp. 356–360). The present state of research suggests social and ethnic differences in the background of this particular practice.

The review of sites suggests that the number of graves in the cemeteries could be much higher than earlier suspected, e.g. in the case of Madaras. Therefore it is uncertain what types of cemetery the documented barrows actually belong to, what was the full size of these cemeteries, and how long they were in use. It is also subject to debate whether they represent just one population group or, rather, different groups of immigrants arriving from the East, and if so, what eastern connections they had.

The spatial analysis of the cemeteries has the potential to yield data on social patterns. In addition to the re-evaluation of earlier excavations, the localization and exploration of new sites by modern methods can contribute to a better understanding of the material culture also of the disturbed and robbed graves. In connection with burial sites the excavation of the respective settlements may be the next stage, which may provide more data on the Sarmatian high-rank social groups. New investigations utilizing modern methods will be instrumental for inventorying and more actively protecting such sites; at the moment their safeguarding cannot be guaranteed due to the imprecise and vague nature of data.

NON-DESTRUCTIVE SURVEY METHODS

As summarized above, the archaeology of Roman-period burial mounds in the Great Hungarian Plain holds a number of questions that were not yet possible to answer fully before. The aim of our project was to approach these issues from a novel perspective using non-destructive methods, to survey the surroundings
of the mounds, thereby gaining more information on their environment and possibly identifying contemporaneous features in their vicinity. The applied methodology included various techniques and analyses, all of them exploring certain physical characteristics of the sites without disturbing the soil and the archaeological features. This includes, for example, observing the micro-relief in elevation, vegetation growth, or small differences in the magnetic properties of the soil. These parameters may indicate the presence of archaeological features and tell us about their character. However, it is important to bear in mind that it is through the combination of different methods that one can produce reliable results, as singular methods can only show certain parts of the whole picture. Therefore, when planning non-destructive investigations, the character of the archaeological features has to be considered and the methods to use have to be chosen accordingly.

In the framework of our present project we are aiming to map the location of subsurface phenomena—graves and enclosures—against features shown by the micro-relief of the surface, often undetectable to the naked eye. In order to realize this goal, geomagnetic survey and 3D photogrammetry were applied, combined through the use of high precision GPS surveys. In order to exploit these techniques effectively, however, we first had to identify and localize the sites with the highest possible precision. For this, we had to rely on a wide range of sources to accomplish this, including previously published archaeological literature, databases of archaeological documentation, archive maps (military, cadastral and other manuscript maps), as well as archival and recent aerial photos, and satellite images, which could often reveal the visible signs of burial structures on the surface. The information obtained this way was instrumental in designing targeted surveys and thus optimizing the collection of field data within the financial margins available to us.

For the detection of subsurface phenomena, a so-called passive geophysical method (geomagnetic survey) was applied. This technique uses a magnetometer to measure the magnetization of the local physical environment against that caused by the background radiation. Through the analysis of anomalies in this characteristic it can detect subsurface archaeological features, and other anthropogenic disturbances in the natural environment. Such anomalies can clearly indicate areas where human disturbance was intensive or long-term, and where substantial quantities of certain materials significantly different from their environments (e.g. burnt brick or stone) were present.

During our research we have also conducted surveys of the local surface relief, in order to map the possible surface traces of burial mounds and the landscapes around them, using drone photos and 3D photogrammetry. This technique is based on photographic data recorded with drones flying at medium altitude, and on a comparative analysis of the relative position of features documented in the photos (De Reu et al., 2013). By using these methods, it is possible to create high-resolution point clouds and 3D models of any object. Further adding geolocated markers also allows for a georeferenced terrain model (Westoby et al., 2012) to be created. With such models it is possible to identify the tiniest surface traces of the mounds and to get a more accurate picture of their environment. Additionally, high resolution orthographic photos can be taken using the same method in order to study discolorations of surface vegetation, also often alluding to archaeological features.

**RESULTS**

**VASKÚT, TÖRÖK-DOMBOK (‘TURKISH HILLS’)**

The earliest excavation of the Sarmatian burial site in Vaskút was conducted in 1868 by Flóris Rómer and by members of the local landowner bourgeoisie. Four out of the twelve then extant and identifiable burial mounds were dug up, all of which had already been heavily robbed. The unique findings of these excavations first of all provides evidence on the grave structures—chamber graves—of the mounds. There was also a circular earthwork identified in their vicinity, however, its function and chronology remained ambiguous; the Celtic or Roman period was suggested (Kőhegyi – Vörös, 1999; Vörös, 2002; Masek, 2014; Czajlik – Holl, 2015, pp. 66–68).

The burials in Vaskút formed two distinctive groups. The northern cemetery was the only one among the investigated sites which did not have to be re-identified, as three barrows remained and are still visible on the surface. They are situated in an area now left untouched by agricultural cultivation (Figs. 1–2). Our surveys in
their vicinity made it possible to identify the other mounds documented in the mid-19th century. The northern group consisted of five mounds altogether, which were of similar size (Fig 4a). The eastern two of them have been completely destroyed by now due to intensive ploughing and were identified only through geomagnetic survey (Fig. 3). The easternmost one had a circular, interrupted enclosure with a causeway on the southern side. The one in the middle (i.e. the easternmost one among the three extant mounds) probably also had a circular enclosure around it. Therefore the burial rite of the undoubtedly Roman-time huge mounds at Vaskút seems to have been identical to the Sarmatian-period burials with interrupted enclosures.

In addition to the already documented barrows we were also able to identify previously unknown mounds with circular enclosures; however, the evaluation of these data is challenging due to modern disturbances. Notably, the northern group consists of mounds of different sizes, arranged in a centralized layout, whereas the southern group consisted of a few large mounds only. These were also enclosed by circular ditches, some of which had, without doubt, openings towards the south (Fig. 4b).

Settlement traces, the dating of which remains yet uncertain and to be investigated in the future, were identified between the two groups. Notably, superpositions could be observed in the area of the northern group, between the mounds and the settlement features, and also between the enclosures of the two largest and fully destroyed mounds. This indicates a chronological difference that has yet to be clarified.

Our results confirmed that the burials in the southern group are aligned with the northern side of the circular earthwork, thus, the earthwork either predates the Sarmatian cemetery or they are contemporaneous.

Hortobágy-Kistatárülések and Hortobágy-Poroshát (Barrow Group XI)
In 1911–1914 Lajos Zoltai investigated altogether 228 graves, in 11 different groups,
in the area of Hortobágy-Poroshát (Zoltai, 1941; Párducz, 1941). A considerable number of weapons were found in the graves and this fuelled various theories. The interpretations usually considered the graves as one unit, although they were scattered in different places occupying an area of about 10 km² in total. Some of them were situated far away from each other and could be part of separate cemeteries, others were, however, too close to one another to be interpreted with certainty as individual cemeteries. Until a full revision of these excavations is made, the cemeteries of Hortobágy should be referred to as a site complex consisting of 11 groups of burial mounds. Zoltai was also excavating other grave groups beyond the area of Poroshát, for example in the area of Kistatárülések and Nagyatárülések. During the excavation, only the central graves of the visible mounds were excavated. On the other hand, Zoltai was also the first to make observations concerning the enclosures around the mounds.

Some of the grave groups were found in areas that had once been cultivated as rice fields, while others were situated in saline landscapes, i.e. uncultivated pasture lands. This offered a favourable context to explore the taphonomic differences resulting from environmental conditions and agricultural cultivation. Following the methodological consideration to choose sites with different environmental conditions and state of preservation, we have selected Barrow Group XI at Hortobágy-Poroshát and Kistatásülések. The former one is situated in a low-lying area—a salt meadow—and it is potentially informative also from an environmental historical point of view (Fig. 5). The latter is surrounded by an area that had been cultivated by flood irrigation; however, the traces of the mounds are still identifiable through soil discolorations visible in photographs (Fig. 6).

The geophysical survey of the Kistatárülések site revealed many circular features, large and small alike. Their locations correspond to the pattern of soil discolorations visible on satellite images. In some cases, traces of these phenomena, which are slightly ele-
vated from the surface relief, can be observed also in the photogrammetric survey, undoubtedly indicating the location of the mounds. The structure of the cemetery, revealed this way, matches the site plan published by Zoltai (Fig. 7), but in addition to the features he excavated, other circular enclosures and structures of different sizes were identified, thus increasing the area of the site, mainly to the northwest. A few questions on the chronology could be answered too: previous excavations failed to bring to light datable finds from the robbed graves but the results of our surveys and the morphological character of the cemetery confirmed its dating to the Roman period.

The localization of Barrow Group XI in Hortobágy-Poroshát was possible on the basis of Zoltai’s map that showed the position of the Koczka stream, a boundary ditch and a boundary mound. Conditions for geomagnetic surveys were not favourable in this area due to the salty alkaline soil. Among the smaller groups of mounds shown on the site map, only the north-western one could be identified, based on low characteristic geophysical anomalies. A 3D photogrammetric survey was not carried out in the area, but a digital elevation model (DEM) could be generated using the GPS data of the magnetometer device. This was instrumental in localizing the barrow groups indicated by Zoltai in the form of smaller protrusions. The presence of poorly observable circular enclosures in the north-western group and the lack of similar features in the case of other graves visible in the DEM point to the technological limitations of the magnetometer device (Fig. 8).

The cemetery of Jászalsószentgyörgy – Borsa-halom

In 1899 four mounds were excavated at this site, out of the seven or eight. They were situated around the large burial mound in the centre, i.e. the Borsa-halom. At the end of the 19th century the height of the central mound was 7 m; today, only a 1–1.5 m high terrain remained of it due to continuous ploughing. Based on the significant, and indeed, unique findings, the site could be dated to the Late Sarmatian – Hunnic period (late 4th–early 5th century) (HILD, 1901; STANCZIK, 1975; VADAY, 1989; MASEK, 2019).

Materials from the collection of archaeological site documentations, military maps, archival maps, aerial photos and satellite images were used to localize the site precisely. Based on archive aerial photos and satellite images one could again argue that the cemetery was much larger than previously suggested and that the burial mounds were enclosed by circular ditches. The digital elevation model that resulted from the photogrammetry survey confirmed the observations made on the site, outlining the traces of the four excavated mounds (Fig. 9). The geomagnetic survey, however, failed to produce clear signs in regard to related features. The survey revealed settlement features of a presuma-
bly prehistoric site along a small ridge, of which the barrows are a part. Based on these features we may expect to detect the circular ditches surrounding the mounds.

In the case of the Borsa-halom, unusual, regular features were evidenced (Fig. 10). These can be identified as the trenches of the 1899 excavation. The mound was dissected by two sets of long trenches placed in right angles, oriented—approximately—in N–S direction. Hoping to find other features, another trench was opened on the north-eastern side of the mound, oriented diagonally to the grave chamber. In the geomagnetic image the northern and eastern side of the long trenches as well as the sondage on the north-eastern side could be observed, but on the south-western side of the mound their traces were not detectable anymore; they could have been ploughed away or their geomagnetic traces disappeared for other reasons. Our survey raised new questions to be answered by targeted investigations in the future.

SUMMARY

In the frames of the project four barrow cemeteries at Vaskút, Jászsándoszentgyörgy, and at two other sites in the region of the Hortobágy were investigated with non-destructive methods.

Based on the results we were able to draw a few basic conclusions, filling in the gaps of earlier research, in regard to the location and spatial structure of the sites as well as the character of the respective archaeological features. The results obtained in each case confirmed and clarified the localization of the sites. The evidence presented also underlined that the evaluation of barrow cemeteries should not be separated from the study of other Sarmatian burial sites. The obtained data clearly suggested that graves surrounded by interrupted enclosures should be generally interpreted as burial mounds. Sarmatian cemeteries with mounds and enclosures likely remained visible landmarks for centuries, and this consideration is important also when researching the landscape and settlement pattern of the Migration Period.

Our results invite further research concerning the layout of the cemeteries and their environment. It would be particularly important to carry out further, other kinds of non-destructive surveys at Jászsándoszentgyörgy, e.g. coring campaigns, in order to map soil profiles and provide a more detailed interpretive context for the observations made thus far. The study of the Hortobágy sites made it clear that it is possible to identify even the shallow, almost completely destroyed mounds and enclosures, analysing micro-relief patterns and geophysical measurements. Such complex surveys open new perspectives for the re-evaluation of earlier excavations, highlighting the effectiveness of modern methods. Our current project was certainly the first step as there are many other sites with similar conditions, whose precise localization and control excavation would be desirable in order to obtain a more detailed view on the morphological character and spatial arrangement of Sarmatian cemeteries from the Roman period.
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